



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/117,219	01/13/1999	CHARLES S. PALM	80802	3437
27975	7590 07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
•	YER, DOPPELT, MILBR	SAJOUS, WESNER		
P.O. BOX 37	S CENTER 255 SOUTH O	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32802-3791		2676	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09	/117,219	PALM ET AL.					
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit					
		We	esner Sajous	2676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (is period for reply is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may an the statutory minimum of the bly and will expire SIX (6) MC at the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 Anril 2	2005						
<i>'</i> =	•								
,—	 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) 🗌	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		5) 🔲 Notice of	/ Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on April 14, 2005.

Claims 2-10 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2-10, the limitation "wireframe **can be** viewed..." is indefinite, because such a phrase "**can be**" raise uncertainties as to whether or not the system in the claim actually performs the task it says it can perform. Thus, amendments to the claims are required.

Further, claim 1 the limitation "positioning of the neutral plane" renders the claim indefinite because the phrase "neutral plane" is not define in the claim, nor does the Applicant makes clear in the claim/specification or drawing description what is being encompassed by a "neutral plane". Clarification is required.

For examination purpose, the phrase "neutral plane" is interpreted as the movement of the display plane relative to the user's viewpoint when the viewer selects a controller on the display).

Art Unit: 2676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teigh et al. (US Pat. 5555366).

Considering claim 2, Teigh, at figs. 2 and 12, discloses a computer system comprises a processor (12), a memory (16) and a stereo viewer (i.e., a displayed entity) loaded in a memory (87, see fig. 12 and col. 8, lines 4-9 and col. 9, lines 24-36), wherein the stereo viewer includes a graphical user interface (see fig. 3) including a viewing window (39 or 41) in which wireframes (50 or 79, fig. 9A or 10A) are viewed (see col. 10, lines 11-32) and a plurality of controls (28-38, particularly, items 35-37) for manipulating a view of a wireframe (see figs. 28 (A-E) and col. 10, lines 15-65 and col. 16, line 29 through col. 27, line 51); a controller (e.g., either of arrows 36 or 38 of fig. 3)

Art Unit: 2676

to positioning or adjusting the neutral plane of a stereo image (see col. 9, line 65 to col. 10, line 5, wherein the neutral plane corresponds to the movement of the display plane relative to the user's viewpoint when the viewer selects a controller on the display).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teigh in view of McCutchen (US 6141034).

Regarding claim 3, Teigh, at figs. 2 and 12, discloses a computer system comprises a processor (12), a memory (16) and a stereo viewer (i.e., a displayed entity) loaded in a memory (87, see fig. 12 and col. 8, lines 4-9 and col. 9, lines 24-36), wherein the stereo viewer includes a graphical user interface (see fig. 3) including a viewing window (39 or 41) in which wireframes (50 or 79, fig. 9A or 10A) are viewed (see col. 10, lines 11-32) and a plurality of controls (28-38, particularly, items 35-37) for manipulating a view of a wireframe (see figs. 28 (A-E) and col. 10, lines 15-65 and col. 16, line 29 through col. 27, line 51); a controller (e.g., either of arrows 36 or 38 of fig. 3) to positioning or adjusting the neutral plane of a stereo image (see col. 9, line 65 to col. 10, line 5, wherein the neutral plane corresponds to the movement of the display plane relative to the user's viewpoint when the viewer selects a controller on the display).

Art Unit: 2676

Although Teigh discloses substantial features of the invention, Teigh fails to teach adjusting camera offset ... for providing left and right image views.

McCutchen in a similar art teaches adjusting camera offset between points acting as cameras for providing left and right image views of an image. See col. 38, lines 56-67, col. 53, lines 31-49, col. 54, lines 22-35, and col. 56, lines 49-54.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Teigh to include the camera offset suggested by McCutchen, so as to allow the production of stereoscopically offset views of images. See McCutchen's col. 38, lines 56-58.

Re claim 4, the claimed "one or more controls for animating a wireframe" is met by fig. 3, items 35-37 In Teigh.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-10 would be allowable if they overcome the 112 problem set forth in this action, because the prior art of record fail to teach that the controls include one or more controls for selecting display of a wireframe either unrendered or rendered with one of a bit mapped texture from an image used to create the wireframe or a selected surface texture.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 09/117,219 Page 6

Art Unit: 2676

7791. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays between 11:00 and 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wesner Sajous -WS-

7/10/05